

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,413	ANDERSON ET AL	
	Examiner Shengjun Wang	Art Unit 1617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shengjun Wang (3) _____

(2) Paul Diamond (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *All the proposed claims have been discussed. Claim 1 appears to be allowable, particularly in view of the declaration submitted 12/12/2008 and the exhibit (Gerbershausen et al. 2007). Applicants will consider to cancel claim 23 and 103 and the dependent claims from those two claims.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shengjun Wang/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617